Compatibility Determination

<u>Use:</u> Jogging/Running

Refuge Name: Great Meadows National Wildlife Refuge

Establishing Authority: Great Meadows National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) was established May 3, 1944 under the authority of the Migratory Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. § 715d) and Refuge Recreation Act (16 U.S.C. § 460k-1).

Refuge Purposes:

- "...for use as an inviolate sanctuary, or for any other management purpose, for migratory birds." (16 U.S.C. § 715d),
- "... suitable for -- incidental fish and wildlife-oriented recreational development," (16 U.S.C. § 460k-1),
- "the protection of natural resources," (16 U.S.C. § 460k-1),
- "and the conservation of threatened or endangered species..." (16 U.S.C. § 460k-1)

<u>National Wildlife Refuge System Mission:</u> To administer a national network of lands and waters for the conservation, management, and where appropriate, restoration of the fish, wildlife, and plant resources and their habitats within the United States for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans.

Description of Proposed Use: Jogging or running on refuge trails. Maps showing these trail systems are included in Refuge Brochures for Great Meadows NWR. Jogging occurs year-round on the refuges with the majority of use from April through October along the Dike Trail at the Concord Impoundments. Occasionally, joggers stop at the informational kiosk to obtain refuge or wildlife viewing information. Use is heaviest during the summer months and occurs more frequently early in the morning and in the evening when individuals jog before and after work and while the weather is more pleasant. Visual observations indicate that total use is moderate to heavy, but exact numbers are currently not available. The activity is primarily athletic in nature. It is likely that some joggers observe wildlife while they are jogging on the refuge. However, such observation tends to be incidental to the primary activity of jogging. At Great Meadows NWR, joggers include individuals and couples as well as larger groups such as track teams from local schools and a local running club. Track teams tend to jog in the afternoons and local clubs run on weekend mornings.

Availability of Resources: Maintenance of the trails and facilities include costs. These costs are not directly related to jogging or running. Jogging and running may cause incremental needs for additional trail maintenance activities. The major portion of the funds needed to support this activity is in the form of salaries to maintain the trails and to provide protection and monitoring; additional funds are needed for maintenance materials and other supplies. The prorated portion of cost to maintain the trails is estimated to be

\$5,000 and the prorated portion of the cost for law enforcement, resource protection and monitoring is approximately \$3,000.

Anticipated Impacts on Refuge Purpose: Jogging or running as conducted on Great Meadows NWR has not been studied in a rigorous fashion. Jogging has the potential of impacting shorebird, waterfowl, marshbirds and other migratory bird populations feeding and resting near the trails during certain times of the year. Dense foraging habitat often is concentrated along the edges of the impoundments where water levels are lower, and therefore attracts large numbers of foraging ducks and shorebirds during migration. Use of upland trails is more likely to impact songbirds than other migratory birds. Human disturbance to migratory birds has been documented in many studies in different locations.

Conflicts arise when migratory birds and humans are present in the same areas (Boyle and Samson 1985). Response of wildlife to human activities includes: departure from site (Owen 1973, Burger 1981, Korschgen et al 1985, Henson and Grant 1991, Kahl 1991, Klein 1993), use of sub-optimal habitat (Erwin 1980, Williams and Forbes 1980), altered behavior (Burger 1981, Korschen et al. 1985, Morton et al. 1989, Ward and Stehn 1989, Havera et al. 1992, Klein 1993), and increase in energy expenditure (Morton et al. 1989, Belanger and Bedard 1990). McNeil et al. (1992) found that many waterfowl species avoid disturbance by feeding at night instead of during the day. The location of recreational activities impacts species in different ways. Miller et al. (1998) found that nesting success was lower near recreational trails, where human activity was common, than at greater distances from the trails. A number of species have shown greater reactions when pedestrian use occurred off trail (Miller, 1998). In addition, Burger (1981) found that wading birds were extremely sensitive to disturbance in the northeastern U.S. In regard to waterfowl, Klein (1989) found migratory dabbling ducks to be the most sensitive to disturbance and migrant ducks to be more sensitive when they first arrived, in the late fall, than later in winter. She also found gulls and sandpipers to be apparently insensitive to human disturbance, with Burger (1981) finding the same to be true for various gull species.

For songbirds, Gutzwiller et. al. (1997) found that singing behavior of some species was altered by low levels of human intrusion. Jogging can impact normal behavioral activities, including feeding, reproductive, and social behavior. Studies have shown that ducks and shorebirds are sensitive to jogging activity (Burger 1981, 1986). Resident waterbirds tend to be less sensitive to human disturbance than migrants, and migrant ducks are particularly sensitive when they first arrive (Klein 1993). In areas where human activity is common, birds tolerated closer approaches than in areas receiving less activity.

<u>Public Review and Comment:</u> The public review and comment period has been announced on refuge kiosks, in a planning update sent to all of the individuals on the CCP mailing list, and on the refuge website.

Determination:

Use is not compatible		
Use is Compatible with the following stipulations	X	

The following stipulations are required to ensure compatibility: Joggers and runners will utilize only established trails and other areas open to the public and not venture into closed areas. The current "refuge open ½ hour before sunrise to ½ hour after sunset" regulation restricts entry after daylight hours, and should be maintained along with "Public Use Restricted to Trails Only".

We will be undertaking research to examine whether or not there are site specific impacts on the refuges. We will examine impacts to wildlife and impacts to other recreationists participating in wildlife dependent recreational activities. We will reexamine the compatibility of jogging and running after this research is completed.

<u>Justification</u>: The National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (P.L. 105-57) identifies six legitimate and appropriate uses of wildlife refuges; environmental education, interpretation, hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and wildlife photography. These priority public uses are dependent upon healthy wildlife populations. Where these uses are determined to be compatible, they are to receive enhanced consideration over other uses in planning and management.

Jogging and running are to be used only as a means to facilitate the priority public uses identified above.

These activities will not materially interfere with or detract from the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System or the purposes for which the refuge was established.

Literature Cited:

Belanger, L., and J. Bedard. 1990. *Energetic cost of man-induced disturbance to staging snow geese*. Journal of Wildlife Management. 54:36-

Boyle, S. A., F. B. Samson. 1985. *Effects of nonconsumptive recreation on wildlife: A review*. Wildlife Society Bulletin 13:110-

Burger, J. 1981. *The effect of human activity on birds at a coastal bay*. Biological Conservation. 21:231-241.

Burger, J. 1986. The effect of human activity on shorebirds in two coastal bays in northeastern United States. Environmental Conservation, 13:123-130.

Erwin, R. M. 1980. Breeding habitat by colonially nesting water birds in 2 mid-Atlantic U.S. regions under different regimes of human disturbance. Biological Conservation. 18:39-51.

Gutzwiller, K.J., R.T. Wiedenmann, K.L. Clements, 1997. *Does human intrusion alter the seasonal timing of avian song during breeding periods?* Auk 114:55-65.

Havera, S. P., L. R. Boens, M. M. Georgi, and R. T. Shealy. 1992. *Human disturbance of waterfowl on Keokuk Pool, Mississippi River*. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 20:290-298.

Henson, P. T., and A. Grant. 1991. *The effects of human disturbance on trumpeter swan breeding behavior*. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 19:248-257.

Kahl, R. 1991. *Boating disturbance of canvasbacks during migration at Lake Poygan, Wisconsin*. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 19:242-248.

Klein, M.L. 1993. *Waterbird behavioral responses to human disturbances*. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 21:31-39.

Korschen, C. E., L. S. George, and W. L. Green. 1985. *Disturbance of diving ducks by boaters on a migrational staging area*. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 13:290-296.

McNeil, Raymond; Pierre Drapeau; John D. Goss-Custard. 1992. *The occurrence and adaptive significance of nocturnal habitats in waterfowl*. Biological Review. 67: 381-419

Miller, S.G., R.L. Knight, and C.K. Miller. 1998. *Influence of recreational trails on breeding bird communities*. Ecological Applications. 8(1) 162-169.

Morton, J. M., A. C. Fowler, and R. L. Kirkpatrick. 1989. *Time and energy budgets of American black ducks in winter*. Journal of Wildlife Management. 53:401-410.

Owen, M. 1973. *The management of grassland areas for wintering geese*. Wildfowl. 24:123-130.

Ward, D. H., and R. A. Stehn. 1989. Response of Brant and other geese to aircraft disturbance at Izembek Lagoon, Alaska. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Fish and Wildlife Research Center. Final report to the Minerals Management Service. Anchorage, Alaska. 193 pp.

Williams, G. J., and E. Forbes. 1980. *The habitat and dietary preferences of dark-bellied Brant geese and widgeon in relation to agricultural management*. Wildfowl. 31:151-157.

Signature - Refuge Manager:		
	(Signature and Date)	
Concurrence - Regional Chief:		
_	(Signature and Date)	

Mandatory 5-year Reevaluation Date:	
-------------------------------------	--